ASTROPHYSICAL JOURNAL LETTERS, SUBMITTED
Preprint typeset using LaTeX style emulateapj v. 6/22/04

arXiv:astro-ph/0503195v1 8 Mar 2005

LUMINOUS X-RAY FLARES FROM LOW MASS X-RAY BINARY CANDIDATES IN THE EARLY-TYPE GALAXY NGC 4697

GREGORY R. SIVAKOFF[1], CRAIG L. SARAZIN[1], AND ANDRÉS JORDÁN[2,3]

Astrophysical Journal Letters, submitted

ABSTRACT

We report results of the first search specifically targeting short-timescale X-ray flares from low-mass X-ray binaries in an early-type galaxy. A new method for flare detection is presented. In NGC 4697, the nearest, optically luminous, X-ray faint elliptical galaxy, 3 out of 157 sources are found to display flares at $> 99.95\%$ probability, and all show more than one flare. Two sources are coincident with globular clusters and show flare durations and luminosities similar to (but larger than) Type-I X-ray superbursts found in Galactic neutron star (NS) X-ray binaries (XRBs). The third source shows more extreme flares. Its flare luminosity ($\sim 6 \times 10^{39}$ erg s^{-1}) is very super-Eddington for an NS and is similar to the peak luminosities of the brightest Galactic black hole (BH) XRBs. However, the flare duration (~ 70 s) is much shorter than are typically seen for outbursts reaching those luminosities in Galactic BH sources. Alternative models for the flares are considered.

Subject headings: binaries: close — galaxies: elliptical and lenticular — galaxies: individual (NGC 4697) — X-rays: binaries — X-rays: bursts — X-rays: galaxies

1. INTRODUCTION

Galactic X-ray binaries exhibit a wide-range of short-timescale variability. X-ray bursts from such binaries have been studied since the mid-1970's (e.g., Grindlay et al. 1976; Belian et al. 1976). Type-I bursts are found in low-mass X-ray binaries (LMXBs), and are thought to be due to thermonuclear flashes on the neutron-star (NS) surface with maximum burst luminosities at the Eddington limit. Eight bursts with hours long durations, but otherwise similar to Type-I bursts have been observed (Kuulkers 2004). These superbursts are relatively rare and are thought to have recurrence timescales on the order of a year.

Short-timescale variability has also been seen in high-mass X-ray binaries (HMXBs). LMC X–4, an HMXB-pulsar, has a persistent luminosity of $\sim 2 \times 10^{38}\,\mathrm{erg\,s^{-1}}$ and super-Eddington flares (up to $\sim 2 \times 10^{39}\,\mathrm{erg\,s^{-1}}$) with durations of ~ 70 s (Moon et al. 2003).

Since black holes (BHs) lack a surface, Type-I bursts do not occur. Typical short flares observed in BH-XRBs tend to last on the order of days (McClintock & Remillard 2005) as opposed to minutes as in NS-XRBs; however, rapid flares have been seen (e.g., Greiner et al. 1996; Wijnands & van der Klis 2000). In GRS1915+105, which has been relatively luminous ($\sim 3 \times 10^{38}\,\mathrm{erg\,s^{-1}}$) since its discovery, these rapid flares typically last for minutes or less, have peak-to-persistent flux ratios $\lesssim 10$, and often recur on both regular and irregular intervals of minutes or less (Yadav et al. 1999). V4641 Sgr, an HMXB, showed variations of a factor up to 500 on the timescale of minutes (Wijnands & van der Klis 2000).

In this Paper, we perform the first search specifically targeting short-timescale flares from LMXBs in an early-type galaxy. Since nearby early-type galaxies have many bright LMXBs ($> 10^{37}$ erg s^{-1}; e.g., Sarazin et al. 2000), they have a high potential for having sources that exhibit interesting flare behaviors. We targeted NGC 4697, the nearest (11.7 Mpc, Tonry et al. 2001) optically luminous ($M_B < -20$) elliptical galaxy. As an X-ray faint galaxy (low L_X/L_B), most of its X-ray emission is resolved into 158 point sources (Sivakoff et al. 2005, in preparation, hereafter Paper IV). Five *Chandra* observations of NGC 4697 give extended time coverage for searching for variability. We discuss a new method for detecting flares in the low count regime, and characterize short timescale flares in three LMXBs. All errors listed are for 90% confidence intervals, and all count-rates and fluxes refer to the 0.3–10 keV band.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

Chandra has observed NGC 4697 five times (observations 0784, 4727, 4728, 4729, and 4730), 2000 January 15, 2003 December 26, 2004 January 06, February 02, and August 18 using the Advanced CCD Imaging Spectrometer (ACIS) S3 detector. After removal of background flares, the ontimes were 37651, 40447, 36072, 32462, and 40574 s. All observations were analyzed under CIAO 3.1 with CALDB 2.28. We detected 158 sources using the wavelet detection algorithm (CIAO WAVDETECT program). Optical identifications were used to refine the coordinates to $0\farcs4$ accuracy, with larger errors for fainter sources away from the chip center. More details concerning the X-ray observations, data analysis, and source properties of the whole sample are given in Paper IV.

We observed the center of NGC 4697 with *Hubble Space Telescope Advance Camera for Surveys* (HST-ACS), acquiring two 375 s exposures in the F475W (g475) band, two 560 s exposures in the F850LP (z850) band, and one 90 s F850LP exposure. Source detection and characterization were performed similarly to Jordán et al. (2004), leading to a list of globular clusters (GCs) and other optical sources. Details concerning the HST-ACS observation, data analysis, and optical source properties are given in Jordán et al. (2005, in preparation).

3. DETECTION OF FLARING SOURCES

A bright LMXB ($10^{38}\,\mathrm{erg\,s^{-1}}$) will have only ~ 25 counts in a ~ 40 ks *Chandra* observation of NGC 4697, making it difficult to search for intra-observation variability. Tests which

[1] Department of Astronomy, University of Virginia, P. O. Box 3818, Charlottesville, VA 22903-0818; grs8g@virginia.edu, sarazin@virginia.edu
[2] European Southern Observatory, Karl-Schwarzschild-Str. 2 85748 Garching bei München, Germany; ajordan@eso.org
[3] Astrophysics, Denys Wilkinson Building, University of Oxford, 1 Keble Road, Oxford, OX1 3RH, UK

TABLE 1
OBSERVED PROPERTIES OF SHORT TIMESCALE FLARES IN NGC 4697

Source Name	R.A. (h m s)	Dec. (° ′ ″)	Obs.	N	n	Δt (s)	t_0 (MJD)	$P_{\rm constant}$ (%)	$P'_{\rm constant}$ (%)	$P_{\rm constant,joint}$ (%)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
CXOU J124837.8–054652 (Src. A)	12 48 37.86	−05 46 52.9	0784	14	5	1047	51558.79424	0.700	1.787	
			4727	16	4	628	52999.75883	2.729	7.006	
			4728	14	4	509	53010.70296	1.212	3.096	
			0784,4727–8							0.039
CXOU J124831.0–054828 (Src. B)	12 48 31.04	−05 48 28.8	4727	9	5	1329	53000.04327	0.224	0.552	
			4728	9	4	1420	53010.72445	3.368	7.982	
			4729	6	5	5654	53047.59917	4.327	9.007	
			4727–8							0.441
			4727–9							0.040
CXOU J124839.0–054750 (Src. C)	12 48 39.03	−05 47 50.2	0784	20	4	68	51558.95771	0.013	0.034	
			4727	20	3	50	53000.05857	0.547	1.420	
			0784,4727							0.005

require binning the events and the Kolmogoroff-Smirnov test are not useful for detecting flares with small numbers of events. Instead, we consider arrival times of individual events and compare them to a constant rate Poisson distribution. We searched for the shortest time t_n over which n consecutive photons (hereafter an n-tuple) arrived. Assuming there are N photons in the entire ontime ($t_{\rm exp}$), the probability that at least n photons are seen in t_n due to Poisson fluctuations is given by the incomplete gamma function,

$$P(n \text{ in } t_n \text{ given } N \text{ in } t_{\rm exp}) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-1)} \int_0^{Nt_n/t_{\rm exp}} e^{-a} a^{n-2} da\,. \quad (1)$$

Since we search $N-n+1$ n-tuples, the probability that the n observed photons that are seen in t_n are not due to random fluctuations is given by

$$P_{\rm flare}(n) = [1 - P(n \text{ in } t_n \text{ given } N \text{ in } t_N)]^{N-n+1}\,, \quad (2)$$

assuming the n-tuples are independent. The probability that the flare resulted from a statistical fluctuation is $P_{\rm constant}(n) = 1 - P_{\rm flare}(n)$.

With each observation, we search through $N-1$ different sets of n-tuples, i.e., $n = 2 \ldots N$. Thus, eq. 2 overestimates the probability a detected flare of n photons is real. However, the $N-1$ sets of n-tuples are not independent. Adding probabilities of producing a flare from a random fluctuation would underestimate the probability that the flare was real. To determine the probabilities more accurately, we ran sets of $> 200,000$ Monte Carlo simulations assuming the source emitted at a constant rate, and we determined the number of false flares detected by our algorithm due to statistical fluctuations. The simulations, which included the effects of finite frame times, read-out time, and background, were analyzed identically to the actual observations. From simulations, we derived a correction factor to eq. (2) to give the correct probability that a flare was real, $P'_{\rm flare}$. We determined the ratio between $P'_{\rm constant}$ and $P_{\rm constant}$ when $P_{\rm constant} < 5\%$; this ratio $A(N) \equiv P'_{\rm constant}/P_{\rm constant}$ depended only on the mean number of counts N for a set of simulations and was typically 2–3. We linearly interpolated between simulation sets with different N to find $P'_{\rm constant}$ for each flare. After finding a flaring source, we checked all n–tuples to see if there were other less probable but still significant flares in the same observation. We did not find multiple flares in the same observation. As a caveat, note that the use of the total observed counts in eq. (1) would create a bias against detecting multiple intra-observation flares.

We found that several of the sources showed flares in different observations with similar properties. Detecting multiple flares from a source makes it even less likely that the flares are due to statistical fluctuations. Let $P'_{\rm constant,i}$ be the probability that the most significant flare within a given observation i is due to a statistical fluctuation. When $P_{\rm constant,i} > 5\%$, we conservatively set $P'_{\rm constant,i} = 1$. We only consider sequences of flares with properties that are all identical within the errors. With five observations, the joint probability that the flare sequence is a statistical fluctuation is,

$$P_{\rm constant,joint} = \binom{5}{k} \prod_{i=1}^{5} P'_{{\rm constant},i}\,, \quad (3)$$

where k is the number of observations with $P_{\rm constant,i} < 5\%$.

Whenever $P_{\rm constant,joint} < 0.32\%$, we considered a source to be a flaring source. At this level, we expect < 0.5 sources with apparent flares due to random fluctuations; in actuality, we find four sources with significant flares, all of which have joint probabilities more consistent with < 0.1 sources being due to random fluctuations.

One of the significant flaring sources is CXOU J124836.3–055333, one of the brightest objects in our sample (~ 5–$9 \times 10^{-14}\,{\rm erg\,s^{-1}\,cm^{-2}}$). This source is within $0\farcs5$ of a Galactic star, Tycho-2 4955-1175-1, and is very likely to be associated with this star; we exclude it from further discussion since it is unlikely to be an LMXB in NGC 4697.

In Table 1, we list observed properties of the significant flaring sources associated with NGC 4697. Columns 1–4 list the source name, position, and observation number in which the flare occurred. Columns 5–8 list the total number of events N from the source during this observation, the number of events in the flare n, the flare duration Δt, and the Modified Julian Day (MJD) of the first event, t_0. Column 9 lists the probability that a given n-tuple is a statistical fluctuation, while column 10 gives the probability including all of the n-tuples which were searched. Column 11 gives the joint probability that a sequence of similar flares during several observations are all just statistical fluctuations.

For each event in a flaring source, we examined the chip coordinates and *ASCA* grades to eliminate previously unknown flickering pixels as possible false positive flares. Although the four flare events in Obs. 0784 of Src. C occur at only two chip positions, their ASCA grades (2 & 0, 6 & 2) indicate they are unlikely to be due to flickering pixels. We note that the time

TABLE 2
INFERRED PROPERTIES OF SHORT TIMESCALE FLARES IN NGC 4697

Source Name	Obs.	$n_{\rm flat}$	$r_{\rm flat}$ (10^{-3} s^{-1})	$\Delta t_{\rm flat}$ (s)	$r_{\rm flat}/r_{\rm persistent}$	$L_{\rm flare}$ (10^{38} erg s^{-1})	$E_{\rm flare}$ (10^{41} erg)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
CXOU J124837.8−054652 (Src. A)	0784	$3.7^{+4.1}_{-2.2}$	$3.2^{+17.4}_{-1.2}$	1168^{+1418}_{-1038}	14^{+99}_{-2}	$4.2^{+23.2}_{-1.5}$	$4.9^{+5.4}_{-3.0}$
	4727	$2.6^{+3.4}_{-1.7}$	$3.5^{+36.5}_{-2.3}$	754^{+3573}_{-701}	12^{+161}_{-6}	$5.8^{+60.7}_{-3.9}$	$4.4^{+5.6}_{-2.9}$
	4728	$2.3^{+3.0}_{-1.5}$	$4.5^{+24.8}_{-2.6}$	609^{+1681}_{-534}	17^{+117}_{-6}	$7.3^{+39.8}_{-4.2}$	$3.7^{+4.8}_{-2.4}$
	0784,4727–8			844^{+1399}_{-454}	14^{+74}_{-3}	$5.8^{+25.4}_{-2.0}$	$4.3^{+3.0}_{-1.6}$
CXOU J124831.0−054828 (Src. B)	4728	$4.2^{+4.6}_{-2.6}$	$2.8^{+11.7}_{-0.5}$	1483^{+789}_{-1244}	26^{+234}_{-2}	$4.7^{+19.5}_{-0.9}$	$7.0^{+7.7}_{-4.2}$
	4729	$3.0^{+3.9}_{-2.2}$	$1.8^{+43.5}_{-0.8}$	1662^{+2739}_{-1621}	13^{+1274}_{-2}	$2.9^{+69.7}_{-0.8}$	$4.8^{+6.2}_{-3.5}$
	4730	$3.8^{+4.2}_{-2.3}$	$0.6^{+19.0}_{-0.1}$	6320^{+1067}_{-6235}	$22^{+\infty}_{-1}$	$1.0^{+31.1}_{-0.1}$	$6.2^{+6.8}_{-3.8}$
	4727–8			1573^{+1425}_{-1022}	20^{+649}_{-1}	$3.8^{+18.4}_{-0.9}$	$5.9^{+4.9}_{-2.7}$
	4727–9			3155^{+1015}_{-2187}	$20^{+\infty}_{-1}$	$2.9^{+26.3}_{-0.5}$	$6.0^{+4.0}_{-2.2}$
CXOU J124839.0−054750 (Src. C)	0784	$2.8^{+3.7}_{-1.9}$	$36.5^{+85.4}_{-10.9}$	78^{+74}_{-52}	76^{+220}_{-20}	$48.5^{+113.5}_{-14.5}$	$3.8^{+4.9}_{-2.5}$
	4727	$2.1^{+3.3}_{-1.5}$	$37.1^{+116.3}_{-26.0}$	57^{+226}_{-42}	96^{+382}_{-63}	$61.8^{+193.5}_{-43.3}$	$3.5^{+5.5}_{-2.5}$
	0784,4727			68^{+119}_{-33}	86^{+220}_{-33}	$55.2^{+112.2}_{-22.8}$	$3.7^{+3.7}_{-1.8}$

intervals between events at the same chip positions in Obs. 0784 of Src. C are 6.4 s and 12.8 s, much less than the aspect motion periods. Thus, it is likely that subsequent events from the same source can occur at the same detector position. The other sources, which all had longer flare durations, did not have duplicate chip positions in their flares.

It is likely that the observed flare duration underestimates the actual duration due to the small number of photons detected, and that other properties may be biased by the flare detection algorithm. On the other hand, if a photon due to emission outside of the flare duration arrives just before or after a flare, this could lead to an overestimate of the flare properties. To assess these effects, we adopted a simple model for the temporal development of the flare, and used Monte Carlo simulations to derive the best-fit model flare parameters and their uncertainties. For the flare, we adopted a top-hat model, characterized by a constant flare rate, $r_{\rm flat}$, over a burst duration, $\Delta t_{\rm flat}$, beginning at a given time, $t_{0,\rm flat}$. Outside of the flare duration, we assumed a constant persistent source rate $r_{\rm persistent}$. For a given model flare rate $r_{\rm flat}$, duration $\Delta t_{\rm flat}$, and beginning time $t_{0,\rm flat}$, we performed 200,000 Monte Carlo simulations. We varied the model parameters until we found the model which was most likely to have reproduced the observed flare properties. For this best-fit model, the symmetric 90% confidence region for each model parameter was determined from the simulations. The rates and durations were used to calculate X-ray luminosities and fluences for each observation using conversion factors based on the best-fit model for the cumulative X-ray spectrum of all the sources, a power-law with index of 1.47 (Paper IV). The spectral model was corrected for Galactic absorption and the QE degradation for each observation.

In Table 2, we list the best-fit model properties of the flaring sources. Columns 1–2 lists the source name and observation number. Columns 3–8 list the best-fit flare properties: number of flare photons ($n_{\rm flat}$), flare rate ($r_{\rm flat}$), flare duration ($\Delta t_{\rm flat}$), ratio of flare rate to persistent rate, flare luminosity ($L_{\rm flat}$), and flare fluence ($E_{\rm flat}$). Rows with multiple observations listed display the averages of the flare duration, ratio of flare rate to persistent rate, flare luminosity, and flare fluence of the matching flares.

Given our limited temporal coverage, it is difficult to place strong limits on the recurrence timescale (ΔT) of the flares. Our simulations show that the probability that our algorithm will detect a flare is only $\sim 50\%$. However, the fact that two flares were not seen in any single observation and that there are observations without observed flares for each of the sources implies that $\Delta T \gtrsim 5$ hr. One upper limit on ΔT is given by the shortest observed time between observations with flares; this is 11 days for Src. A and B, and 1441 days for Src. C. However, detections of flares in two or three out of five observations lasting ~ 10 hr suggest that the recurrence time is much shorter than this, $\Delta T \approx 10$ hr. One caveat is that it is possible that most of the LMXBs in NGC 4697 are undergoing flares with a longer recurrence timescale, and we have just selected the three sources where flares occurred within several of our observing windows.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. *CXOU J124837.8–054652 (Src. A) & CXOU J124831.0–054828 (Src. B): Type-I X-ray Superbursts?*

Sources A and B both show similar flares, with average durations of 844^{+1399}_{-454} s and 3155^{+1015}_{-2187} s, respectively. In Src. B, the longer flare in Obs. 4729 is less probable; however, the error bars of all its inferred properties overlap with the error bars of the other flares in Src. B. If one removed the Obs. 4729 flare, the average flare duration decreases to 1573^{+1425}_{-1022} s. Although the durations are larger than typical Type-I bursts, they are consistent within the uncertainties with Type-I superbursts. Since Type-I bursts are Eddington-limited, observations of distant galaxies may select for longer bursts, given the small numbers of photons in each burst. Thus, we may be observing relatively rare, extreme forms of Type-I superbursts.

The average luminosities for the flares in Src. A and Src. B are $5.8^{+25.4}_{-2.0}$ and $2.9^{+26.3}_{-0.5} \times 10^{38}$ erg s^{-1}, respectively, higher than the Eddington luminosity of a $1.4\,M_\odot$ NS accreting hydrogen. If one removed the Obs. 4729 flare from Src. B, the average flare luminosity increases to $3.8^{+18.4}_{-0.9} \times 10^{38}$ erg s^{-1}. The reported luminosities are for the 0.3–10 keV band, and the bolometric luminosities would be even higher. Furthermore, the uncertainties quoted don't include the systematic uncertainty in the distance to NGC 4697 (although this is smaller than the statistical uncertainties) or in the spectral model for the source. Typically, Galactic Type-I bursts can be modeled as $\sim$2 keV blackbodies; if this model were used the observed luminosities would increase by a factor of ~ 2.7.

The average fluences of the flares in these two sources are $4.3^{+3.0}_{-1.6}$ and $6.0^{+4.0}_{-2.2} \times 10^{41}$ erg, respectively. Assuming the flares are powered by fusion of hydrogen and that the ther-

monuclear efficiency to the iron-peak elements is $0.007c^2$ (Lewin et al. 1995), this requires the accretion of $\sim 4 \times 10^{-11} M_\odot$. For accretion of helium and an efficiency of $0.002c^2$, the mass is $\sim 1 \times 10^{-10} M_\odot$. The persistent luminosities of the sources are ~ 4 and $\sim 1 \times 10^{37}$ erg s^{-1} implying accretion rates of ~ 4 and $\sim 1 \times 10^{-9} M_\odot$ yr^{-1} for an NS. Thus, it would require days to weeks to build up a layer sufficient to produce the observed flares as thermonuclear flashes. As noted above, the recurrence time for the flares is probably shorter than this, $\Delta T \approx 10$ hr. However, if most of the LMXBs in NGC 4697 produce similar flares, then the only limit is $\Delta T \lesssim 11$ days. The durations and fluences are similar to superbursts; however, the intervals between Galactic superbursts are much longer, on order of a year.

Both sources are close to GCs in NGC 4697; thus, it is unlikely these sources are background active galactic nuclei (AGNs). Src. A is 0.″1 from an HST-ACS GC with $g-z = 0.89$ and $z = 20.91$. This source is a highly probable match. Src. B is 0.″8 from an HST-ACS GC with $g-z = 1.32$ and $z = 19.15$. Within 0.″8 at this projected position in the galaxy, the probability of a match occurring at random is 1%. Thus, both of these flaring sources are probably located in GCs.

4.2. *CXOU J124839.0–054750 (Src. C)*

By itself, the flare in Obs. 0784 of Src. C is highly significant. The combination of a similar flare in Obs. 4727 makes it the most probable (99.995%) flaring source in this Paper.

There is no clear optical counterpart for Src. C. The nearest globular cluster is 1.″8 away. Given the rms of 0.″4 for X-ray/optical matches, we do not think this is a likely match. At this source's radius from the center of NGC 4697 (48″), one only expects ~ 0.3 background sources at this flux level. There are 18 other sources as bright as Src. C and interior to it. Therefore, there is only a 1.6% probability the source is a background AGN from the X-ray data alone. The source is even less likely to be an AGN given the lack of an optical detection. We have estimated the 50% completeness limit near the source to be 24.9 in the z-band. Since, the X-ray flux in the 0.5–8.0 keV band is 4.2×10^{-15} erg s^{-1} cm^{-2}, $\log F_X/F_{opt}$ is greater than 0.5. If we relax this to $\log F_X/F_{opt} > 0.25$ ($z > 24.3$), and look at GOODS X-ray selected sources (Treister et al. 2004), only 9.6% of the sources have $\log F_X/F_{opt} > 0.25$. Combining the expected X-ray background with the results for obscured AGN in the GOODS fields, we estimate that there is a 0.15% probability Src. C is an AGN.

The flare behavior of Src. C does not have a clear analog in our own Galaxy. Its peak luminosity of $5.5^{+11.2}_{-2.3} \times 10^{39}$ erg s^{-1} is clearly super-Eddington for an NS; the flare is at least 8 times the Eddington luminosity of a helium burning NS. This shows it is not a Type-I X-ray burst. Since NGC 4697 is an elliptical galaxy, it is unlikely that this source is an HMXB like LMC X–4 or V4641 Sgr.

The outburst need not exceed the Eddington limit by much if the compact object is a $10 M_\odot$ BH. The flare timescale is similar to rapid transients seen in the BH-XRBs, GRS1915+105 and V4641 Sgr. Compared to GRS1915+105, the ratio of flare to persistent rate for short flares is too high and the recurrence timescale too long, arguing against accretion behavior like that in GRS1915+105. The rapid transient in V4641 Sgr, seen at the tail of a flare event with the *Rossi X-Ray Timing Explorer* (RXTE; Wijnands & van der Klis 2000), has the correct duration and peak luminosity (assuming a distance of ~ 10 kpc, Orosz et al. 2001); however, its quiescent luminosity is too low compared to Src. C by more than a factor of 10. The activity in V4641 Sgr has been attributed to super-Eddington accretion onto a black hole with the formation of an extended envelope (Revnivtsev et al. 2002). This variability might look like Src. C if it occurred during a long-term luminous stage as has been seen in GRS1915+105.

Since its behavior is most like LMC X–4, one might suggest that they have a similar phenomenology. That is, the flare might be due to density inhomogeneities in the accretion columns onto a neutron star polar caps (Moon et al. 2003). This would require that Src. C have a large magnetic field. Since most Galactic LMXBs do not appear to have strong fields, it is thought that magnetic fields in NS binaries decay with time or as a result of accretion (Bhattacharya & Srinivasan 1995). Since a NS in NGC 4697 is unlikely to be newly formed, a strong magnetic field in a NS binary could only occur if accretion causes the magnetic field decay in LMXBs and millisec pulsars, and if the neutron star in this binary has only recently begun to accrete from its donor.

One possibility is that Src. C (and possibly Src. A & B as well) are related to Galactic microquasar sources. Microquasars are XRBs with accreting BHs which produce relativistic jets (e.g., Mirabel & Rodríguez 1999). In most of the known Galactic examples, we are observing the sources at a large angle from the jet axis (see, however, Orosz et al. 2001). The very high luminosity of Src. C might be explained if we are seeing this source along the jet axis. In analogy to their AGN counterparts, microquasars observed along the jet axis are referred to as microblazars (Mirabel & Rodríguez 1999). Blazars are known to undergo relatively short timescale outbursts; the same phenomena, scaled to microblazars, might account for the X-ray flares in Src. C.

We thank Adrienne Juett and Eric Pfahl for very helpful comments. Support for this work was provided by NASA through HST Award Number GO-10003.01-A and *Chandra* Award Numbers GO4-5093X, AR3-4005X, GO3-4099X, and AR4-5008X. G. R. S. acknowledges the receipt of an ARCS fellowship and support provided by the F. H. Levinson Fund.

REFERENCES

Belian, R. D., Conner, J. P., & Evans, W. D. 1976, ApJ, 206, L135
Bhattacharya, D., & Srinivasan, G. 1995, in X-ray Binaries, ed. W. H. G. Lewin, J. van Paradijs, & E. P. J. van den Heuvel (Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press), 495
Greiner, J., Morgan, E. H., & Remillard, R. A. 1996, ApJ, 473, L107
Grindlay, J., Gursky, H., Schnopper, H., Parsignault, D. R., Heise, J., Brinkman, A. C., & Schrijver, J. 1976, ApJ, 205, L127
Jordán, A., et al. 2004, ApJS, 154, 509
Kuulkers, E. 2004, Nuclear Physics B Proceedings Supplements, 132, 466
Lewin, W. H. G., van Paradijs, J., & Taam, R. E. 1995, in X-ray Binaries, ed. W. H. G. Lewin, J. van Paradijs, & E. P. J. van den Heuvel (Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press), 175
McClintock, J. E., & Remillard, R. A. 2005, in Compact Stellar X-ray Sources, ed. W. H. G. Lewin & M. van der Klis (Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press), in press, astro-ph/0306213
Mirabel, I. F., & Rodríguez, L. F. 1999, ARA&A, 37, 409
Moon, D., Eikenberry, S. S., & Wasserman, I. M. 2003, ApJ, 586, 1280
Orosz, J. A., et al. 2001, ApJ, 555, 489
Pietsch, W., & Haberl, F. 2005, A&A, accepted (astro-ph/0412373)

Revnivtsev, M., Gilfanov, M., Churazov, E., & Sunyaev, R. 2002, A&A, 391, 1013
Sarazin, C. L., Irwin, J. A., & Bregman, J. N. 2000, ApJ, 544, L101
Tonry, J. L., Dressler, A., Blakeslee, J. P., Ajhar, E. A., Fletcher, A. B., Luppino, G. A., Metzger, M. R., & Moore, C. B. 2001, ApJ, 546, 681
Treister, E., et al. 2004, ApJ, 616, 123
Wijnands, R., & van der Klis, M. 2000, ApJ, 528, L93
Yadav, J. S., Rao, A. R., Agrawal, P. C., Paul, B., Seetha, S., & Kasturirangan, K. 1999, ApJ, 517, 935